

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/747,961 12/31/2003		12/31/2003	Eugene George Olczak	040849-0248	6224	
22428	7590	10/24/2006		EXAMINER		
FOLEY A	ND LAR	DNER LLP	TRUONG, BAO Q			
SUITE 500 3000 K STI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHING			2875 DATE MAIL ED: 10/24/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No		Applicant(s)					
		10/747,961		OLCZAK, EUGENE GEORGE					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
•		Bao Q. Truong		2875					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	on appears on the cove	r sheet with the co	orrespondence add	dress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by the treply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS Concept 1.136(a). In no event, hower ion.  period will apply and will expire the application.	OMMUNICATION vever, may a reply be time sIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONED	ely filed he mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	•	•							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	RCE filed 9/11/2006							
·		This action is non-fir	ıal.		•				
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is								
,_	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4) 🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.								
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6) 🗌	_								
7)									
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requiren	nent.						
Applicat	ion Papers				•				
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	· ·		d in this National	Stage				
	application from the International E	•	`						
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified c	opies not received	d.					
Attachmen	t(s)								
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary (	(PTO-413)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-	48)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat	te					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informat Patent Application  6) Other:									

Application/Control Number: 10/747,961

Art Unit: 2875

## DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-9 and 14-17, drawn to an optical substrate or a backlight with the optical substrate, classified in class 362, subclass 607.
  - II. Claims 10-13, drawn to a method of fabricating an optical substrate, classified in class 65, subclass 66.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the optical substrate (prism) could be made by another and materially different process. For example: cutting individual prism by sawing prism strips with cuts that are parallel to each other at a predetermined angle relative to the longitudinal direction of the prism strips.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, therefore require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2875

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bao Q. Truong whose telephone number is (571) 272-2383. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00 AM - 4:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra L. O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/747,961

Art Unit: 2875

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Bao Q. Truong Examiner Art Unit 2875

Sandra O'Shea
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800